

A Study on determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of salaried class people in Mysuru

Dr. Nataraj S

Associate Professor
Department of Commerce
Maharaja's College
University of Mysore Mysuru

Umesh c

Research Scholar
UGC-SRF Fellow
Department of Commerce
Maharaja's College
University of Mysore Mysuru

Abstract

This study attempts to assess the determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of salaried class people in Mysuru city. Primary data is collected through structured questionnaire, both demographic and determinant factors for personal financial planning data have been collected from the 422 select salaried class people. One way ANOVA statistical tool is used to test the hypothesis. Out of 8 demographic factors, education level, employment type and annual income of the respondents were considered with 15 determinant factors for the study. This study found that there is a significant difference in these 15 determinant factors affecting personal financial planning and Educational Level, employment type and annual income of the respondents.

Keywords: *Determinant factors, salaried class, ANOVA, Mysuru.*

Introduction

The history of personal finance dates back to around 200 years to attempts by economists to comprehend the day-to-day management of the home (Gross et al., 1980). Individual interest in the financial services sector has increased as personal financial planning gains traction in the finance business. Personal financial planning is a way for effectively anticipating future household financial demands. It must have been present in primitive form when people had options for their resources (Altfest, 2004). According to Kapoor et al. (2004), personal financial planning is the practise of handling money to achieve economic pleasure on an individual level.

Personal financial planning is a continuous activity that aids in maximising benefits, minimising losses, and controlling the fear of financial catastrophe. Financial planning is a dynamic process since it takes into account each person's requirements and aspirations at different phases of life, according to Gitman and Joehnk (2005).

According to Lai and Tan (2009), people are effectively investing their own money and assets to ensure that their financial stability may be ensured both during and after retirement. Longer life expectancies and a gradual rise in the elderly population highlight the necessity and significance of careful personal financial planning. An individual financial strategy is not just for the wealthy. It should be done by people of various ages and diverse socioeconomic backgrounds.

Literature Review

Nicki A and et al., (2009) had done study to examine the determinants of financial problems and financial satisfaction, for the purpose of the study 400 young male worker had been selected in Australian country. The study found that financial management practices and money attitude significantly predicted financial problems. Financial management practices, money attitude and financial problems also significantly predicted financial satisfaction. There were no significant relationship between financial problems and dissatisfaction and financial counselling attitudes. Hence as per the findings author opinioned that there was a need for financial education initiatives for young male workers which would be directed at facilitating changes in financial management practices and money attitude.

Ming Lai and Khong Tan (2009) examined attitudes of the Malaysians towards personal financial planning; study encompasses money management, insurance planning, investment planning, retirement planning and estate planning. They associated personal financial planning efforts with three measures such as attitude towards personal financial planning, factors influencing various aspects of personal financial planning decision, and frequency of managing for various aspects of personal financial planning. The results found that job status of the respondents is the primary factor in influencing attitude towards personal financial planning whereas demographic factors such as age, race, marital status, gender and education level are the secondary factors.

Neetha and et al., (2011) had done research on people preference in investment behaviour. The objective of the research is to study the factors that influence the investment behaviour of the people and to study the attitude of the respondents towards different investment choices. Finally study found that respondents were moderately aware about the various choices of the investment.

Research Gap

From the review of earlier studies it is found that the studies were mainly focused on need, awareness, literacy on financial planning, but no study was done to evaluate the determinant factors affecting the personal financial planning among salaried class of people with respect to their demographic factors, hence this given the researcher an opportunity to carry out the present study.

Statement of the problem

Financial planning is important as it helps us to meet our future goals such as having own house, children education, being financially freedom and so on. The need for personal financial planning varies among different classes of people; salaried class of peoples is not an exception to that. There are many numbers of factors affecting personal financial planning of salaried class of people as they are getting fixed or varied monthly regular income within that amount they have to make their financial planning, this present study tries to evaluate the different factors affecting their personal financial planning.

Research Questions

Based on the statement of the problem the present study frame following research questions:

1. What are the different factors affecting the personal financial planning of salaried class of people?
2. Does discovered factors effects on personal financial planning of salaried class of people with respect to demographic factors?

Research Objectives

Based on the research questions study frame following research objectives

1. To Study the demographic profile of the respondents
2. To identify the factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents
3. To analyse the determinant factors affecting the personal financial planning of the respondents with respect to demographic factors.

Hypotheses For the study

Based on the research objective following hypothesis is formulated.

H₀: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with respect to demographic factors.

H₁: There is a significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with respect to demographic factors.

Sub-Hypotheses

For the purpose of the study the following sub-hypotheses is framed

H₀₁: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with education level of the respondents.

H_{a1}: There is a significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with education level of the respondents.

H₀₂: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with annual income of the respondents.

H_{a2}: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with annual income of the respondents.

H₀₃: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with employment status of the respondents.

H_{a3}: There is no significant relationship between determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents with employment status of the respondents.

Methodology

For the purpose of the study, primary data have been collected through structured questionnaire from the 422 sample respondents in and around the Mysuru district; both demographical data and determinant factors affecting the personal financial planning have been gathered for the study, the collected data is analysed with the inferential statistics by using One-Way ANOVA test.

Data analysis and interpretation

TABLE 1: Respondents' Profile

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
	MALE	290	68.7

Gender	FEMALE	132	31.3
Age	20-30	168	39.8
	30-40	70	16.6
	40-50	104	24.6
	50-60	40	9.5
	60 and above	40	9.5
Education	PUC	36	8.5
	Under Graduate	152	36.0
	Post Graduate	204	48.3
	Doctorate	30	7.1
Annual income	Less than 5Lakh	116	27.5
	5 to 10 Lakh	130	30.8
	10 to 15 Lakh	132	31.3
	15 Lakh and above	44	10.4
Marital status	Married	328	77.7
	Unmarried	94	22.3
Employment type	Private Sector	264	62.6
	Public Sector	158	37.4
Family type	Joint Family	112	26.5
	Nuclear Family	310	73.5
Place of residence	Rural	114	27.0
	Urban	238	56.4
	Semi Urban	70	16.6

Interpretation: Above table depicts distribution of sample respondents with respect to their demographic factors, 8 demographic factors have been considered for the study. Out of 422 respondents 290 are male respondents and 132 are female respondents, 168 respondents to 20-30age group, 204 respondents have done post-graduation, 132 respondents belongs to 10to15 lakh annual income, 328 respondents are married, 264 respondents working in private sector, 310 respondents belongs to nuclear family type and 238 respondents are residing in urban area these figures are highest in their group.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of determinant factors affecting personal financial planning

Factors	N	Minimu m	Maximu m	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation
achieve Financial Freedom	422	1.00	5.00	1546.00	3.6635	1.07467
meet future contingencies	422	1.00	5.00	1502.00	3.5592	1.24448
capital appreciation	422	1.00	5.00	1762.00	4.1754	1.03734
Planning for children education	422	1.00	5.00	1626.00	3.8531	1.00933
tax liability minimization	422	1.00	5.00	1582.00	3.7488	1.03085
control and monitor expenditures	422	1.00	5.00	1474.00	3.4929	1.21107

overcome from high rate of inflation	422	1.00	5.00	1530.00	3.6256	1.03024
get good rate of return	422	1.00	5.00	1596.00	3.7820	1.11983
Post retirement livelihood purpose	422	1.00	5.00	1590.00	3.7678	1.09766
Range of coverage offered by the policy	422	1.00	5.00	1642.00	3.8910	.99522
Fringe benefits offered by the company	422	1.00	5.00	1548.00	3.6682	1.07835
service quality provided by agents	422	1.00	5.00	1582.00	3.7488	1.01693
Liquidity of the investment	422	2.00	5.00	1576.00	3.7346	.90167
wealth and management of assets	422	2.00	5.00	1708.00	4.0474	.84282
minimize inconvenience to family members in the event of my death	422	1.00	5.00	1660.00	3.9336	1.01549
Valid N	422					

Interpretation: Above table contains various determinant factors affecting personal financial planning of the respondents followed by mean score and standard deviation of each factor, maximum mean score can be seen in capital appreciation (4.1754) and minimum mean score in control and monitor expenditures (3.4929).

Table 3: ANOVA Statistics for Determinant factors on personal financial planning and Educational Level of the Respondents

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
achieve Financial Freedom	Between Groups	42.901	3	14.300	13.484	.000
	Within Groups	443.317	418	1.061		
	Total	486.218	421			
meet future contingencies	Between Groups	40.500	3	13.500	9.228	.000
	Within Groups	611.519	418	1.463		
	Total	652.019	421			
capital appreciation	Between Groups	61.233	3	20.411	21.776	.000
	Within Groups	391.791	418	.937		
	Total	453.024	421			
Planning for children education	Between Groups	50.289	3	16.763	18.507	.000
	Within Groups	378.602	418	.906		
	Total	428.891	421			
tax liability minimization	Between Groups	32.248	3	10.749	10.824	.000
	Within Groups	415.127	418	.993		
	Total	447.374	421			
	Between Groups	61.232	3	20.411	15.338	.000

control and monitor expenditures	Within Groups	556.246	418	1.331		
	Total	617.479	421			
overcome from high rate of inflation	Between Groups	96.235	3	32.078	38.244	.000
	Within Groups	350.608	418	.839		
	Total	446.844	421			
get good rate of return	Between Groups	10.442	3	3.481	2.811	.039
	Within Groups	517.501	418	1.238		
	Total	527.943	421			
Post retirement livelihood purpose	Between Groups	3.548	3	1.183	.982	.401
	Within Groups	503.693	418	1.205		
	Total	507.242	421			
Range of coverage offered by the policy	Between Groups	36.210	3	12.070	13.250	.000
	Within Groups	380.776	418	.911		
	Total	416.986	421			
Fringe benefits offered by the company	Between Groups	42.846	3	14.282	13.364	.000
	Within Groups	446.708	418	1.069		
	Total	489.555	421			
service quality provided by agents	Between Groups	21.940	3	7.313	7.394	.000
	Within Groups	413.434	418	.989		
	Total	435.374	421			
Liquidity of the investment	Between Groups	38.818	3	12.939	17.823	.000
	Within Groups	303.457	418	.726		
	Total	342.275	421			
wealth and management of assets	Between Groups	17.292	3	5.764	8.551	.000
	Within Groups	281.760	418	.674		
	Total	299.052	421			
minimize inconvenience to family members in the event of my death	Between Groups	8.046	3	2.682	2.631	.050
	Within Groups	426.096	418	1.019		
	Total	434.142	421			

The above Table shows the calculated sum of squares, degree of freedom, mean square, F value and significant value for determinant factors of personal financial planning with reference to Educational Level of the Respondents. The P value is less than 0.05 for all the factors except Post retirement livelihood purposeso we reject the null hypothesis and it can be concluded that there is a significant difference in these 14determinant factors affecting personal financial planning and Educational Level of the respondents. For Post-retirement livelihood purpose factor P value is more than 0.05 hence we accept the null hypothesis and it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between education level of the respondents and Post retirement livelihood purpose factor.

Table 4: ANOVA Statistics for Determinant factors on personal financial planning and Annual Income of the Respondents

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
achieve Financial Freedom	Between Groups	21.733	3	7.244	6.519	.000

	Within Groups	464.485	418	1.111		
	Total	486.218	421			
meet future contingencies	Between Groups	13.632	3	4.544	2.975	.031
	Within Groups	638.387	418	1.527		
	Total	652.019	421			
capital appreciation	Between Groups	87.144	3	29.048	33.186	.000
	Within Groups	365.880	418	.875		
	Total	453.024	421			
Planning for children education	Between Groups	21.480	3	7.160	7.346	.000
	Within Groups	407.411	418	.975		
	Total	428.891	421			
tax liability minimization	Between Groups	67.737	3	22.579	24.861	.000
	Within Groups	379.637	418	.908		
	Total	447.374	421			
control and monitor expenditures	Between Groups	30.511	3	10.170	7.243	.000
	Within Groups	586.967	418	1.404		
	Total	617.479	421			
overcome from high rate of inflation	Between Groups	91.525	3	30.508	35.890	.000
	Within Groups	355.319	418	.850		
	Total	446.844	421			
get good rate of return	Between Groups	78.585	3	26.195	24.367	.000
	Within Groups	449.358	418	1.075		
	Total	527.943	421			
Post retirement livelihood purpose	Between Groups	19.072	3	6.357	5.443	.001
	Within Groups	488.170	418	1.168		
	Total	507.242	421			
Range of coverage offered by the policy	Between Groups	52.237	3	17.412	19.954	.000
	Within Groups	364.749	418	.873		
	Total	416.986	421			
Fringe benefits offered by the company	Between Groups	31.378	3	10.459	9.542	.000
	Within Groups	458.177	418	1.096		
	Total	489.555	421			
service quality provided by agents	Between Groups	39.296	3	13.099	13.824	.000
	Within Groups	396.078	418	.948		
	Total	435.374	421			
Liquidity of the investment	Between Groups	2.495	3	.832	1.023	.382
	Within Groups	339.780	418	.813		
	Total	342.275	421			
wealth and management of assets	Between Groups	21.974	3	7.325	11.050	.000
	Within Groups	277.078	418	.663		
	Total	299.052	421			
	Between Groups	39.377	3	13.126	13.898	.000

minimize inconvenience to family members in the event of my death	Within Groups	394.765	418	.944		
	Total	434.142	421			

The above Table shows the calculated sum of squares, degree of freedom, mean square, F value and significant value for determinant factors of personal financial planning with reference to Annual Income of the Respondents. The P value is less than 0.05 for all the factors except “Liquidity of the investment” so we reject the null hypothesis and it can be concluded that there is a significant difference in these 14 determinant factors affecting personal financial planning and income of the respondents. For the factor Liquidity of the investment the P value is more than 0.05, hence we accept null hypothesis for this factor with reference to income of the respondents and it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in this determinant factors of personal financial planning and income of the respondents.

Table 5: ANOVA Statistics for Determinant factors on personal financial planning and Employment status of the Respondents

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
achieve Financial Freedom	Between Groups	.520	1	.520	.449	.503
	Within Groups	485.699	420	1.156		
	Total	486.218	421			
meet future contingencies	Between Groups	25.658	1	25.658	17.205	.000
	Within Groups	626.361	420	1.491		
	Total	652.019	421			
capital appreciation	Between Groups	23.025	1	23.025	22.490	.000
	Within Groups	429.999	420	1.024		
	Total	453.024	421			
Planning for children education	Between Groups	28.648	1	28.648	30.062	.000
	Within Groups	400.243	420	.953		
	Total	428.891	421			
tax liability minimization	Between Groups	29.160	1	29.160	29.285	.000
	Within Groups	418.214	420	.996		
	Total	447.374	421			
control and monitor expenditures	Between Groups	36.272	1	36.272	26.211	.000
	Within Groups	581.207	420	1.384		
	Total	617.479	421			
overcome from high rate of inflation	Between Groups	8.417	1	8.417	8.063	.005
	Within Groups	438.427	420	1.044		
	Total	446.844	421			
get good rate of return	Between Groups	4.700	1	4.700	3.773	.053
	Within Groups	523.243	420	1.246		
	Total	527.943	421			

Post retirement livelihood purpose	Between Groups	1.630	1	1.630	1.354	.245
	Within Groups	505.612	420	1.204		
	Total	507.242	421			
Range of coverage offered by the policy	Between Groups	6.211	1	6.211	6.350	.012
	Within Groups	410.775	420	.978		
	Total	416.986	421			
Fringe benefits offered by the company	Between Groups	.130	1	.130	.111	.739
	Within Groups	489.425	420	1.165		
	Total	489.555	421			
service quality provided by agents	Between Groups	11.911	1	11.911	11.814	.001
	Within Groups	423.463	420	1.008		
	Total	435.374	421			
Liquidity of the investment	Between Groups	17.903	1	17.903	23.181	.000
	Within Groups	324.372	420	.772		
	Total	342.275	421			
wealth and management of assets	Between Groups	24.777	1	24.777	37.942	.000
	Within Groups	274.275	420	.653		
	Total	299.052	421			
minimize inconvenience to family members in the event of my death	Between Groups	17.438	1	17.438	17.576	.000
	Within Groups	416.704	420	.992		
	Total	434.142	421			

The above Table shows the calculated sum of squares, degree of freedom, mean square, F value and significant value for determinant factors of personal financial planning with reference to **Employment status** of the Respondents. The P value is less than 0.05 in case of “**meet future contingencies**” “**capital appreciation**” “**Planning for children education**” “**tax liability minimization**” “**control and monitor expenditures**” “**overcome from high rate of inflation**” “**Range of coverage offered by the policy**” “**service quality provided by agents**” “**Liquidity of the investment**” “**wealth and management of assets**” “**minimize inconvenience to family members in the event of my death**” so we reject the null hypothesis for these 12 factors and it can be concluded that there is a significant difference in these determinant factors affecting personal financial planning and Employment status of the respondents. For Other reasons like “**achieve Financial Freedom**” “**Post retirement livelihood purpose**” “**Fringe benefits offered by the company**” the P value is more than 0.05 hence we accept null hypothesis for these 3 factors with reference to Employment status of the respondents and it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in these determinant factors of personal financial planning and Employment status of the respondents

Conclusion

Strengthening of any economy depends upon the financial well-being of the residents of the country. In this present study an attempt has been made to analyse the determinant factors affecting the personal financial planning of the salaried class people. By examining ANOVA test results it can be concluded that all the identified determinant factors has positive effect on personal financial planning need of the respondents.

Limitations

This study is not an exception to limitations, the limitations are listed below:

- The study is limited to Mysuru city only
- The study is only confined to salaried class people.
- ANOVA test is carried out only on 3 demographic factors such as Education, Employment type and Annual Income.

References

1. Alkan, O., Oktay , E., Unver, S., & Gerni , E. (2020). determination of factors affecting the financial literacy of university students in eastern anatolia using ordered regression models. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 10(5), 536-546.
2. Andrejovska, A., & Buleca, J. (2016, January). Regression Analysis of Factors Influencing Volume of Households' Savings in the V4 Countries. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(1), 213-222.
3. Bahovec, V., Barbic , D., & Palic , I. (2017). The Regression Analysis of Individual Financial Performance: Evidence from Croatia. *Business Systems Research*, 8(2), 1-13.
4. GAWRI, K. (2102). to analyse various factors that impactfinancial investment decisions of an individual. *to analyse various factors that impactfinancial investment decisions of an individual*, 1-17.
5. Gedmintiene, D. D., & Visockaite, A. (2016). the importance of personal finance for investment and applying financial behaviour principles in personal finance investment decisions in lithuania. *socialniu mokslu studijos*, 1(1), 118-131.
6. Illmeyer, M., Grosch , D., & Kittler , M. (2017). The impact of financial management on innovation. *the international journal entrepreneurship and sustainabilty issues*, 5(1), 58-72.
7. Juen, T. T., Fazli Sabri , M., & ABD Rahim , H. (n.d.). the influence of financial knowledge, financial practices and self-esteem on money management skills of young adults. *institut penyelidikan pembangunan belia malaysia*, 1(1), 23-37.
8. Khanal, S., Singh Thapa , B., & Raj Nepal , S. (2022, January). determinants of personal financial planning a survey among business graduates in nepal. *a peer reviewed journal of interdisciplinary studies*, 8(1), 31-47.
9. M, D. M. (2017, January). Perception of investors towards the Investment Pattern on Different Investment Avenues- A review. *Journal of internet Banking and Commerce*, 22(s7), 1-15.
10. Murphy, D. S., & Yetmar , S. (2010). Personal Financial Planning Attitudes: a Preliminary Study of graduate Students. *The Current Issue and full text archive of this journal is available at*, 33(8), 811-817.
11. Nga, J. K., & Ken Yien , L. (2013). The influence of personality trait and demographics on financial decision making among generation Y. *Emerald Group Publishing Limited*, 14(3), 230-243.
12. Stendardi, E. J., F Graham, J., & O'Reilly, M. (2006). The impact of gender on the Personal financial Planning Process. *The Current issue and full Text archive of this journal is available at*, 22(4), 223-238.